

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/692,435	10/19/2000	Mark Olijnyk	BRI-00027	6193	
75	90 07/30/2002				
Warn IP Law Office			EXAMINER		
P O Box 70098			ROBINSON, MARK A		
Rochester Hlls,	ester Hlls, MI 48307 ROBINSON, MARK A			, WARK A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2872		
			DATE MAILED: 07/30/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			Ru
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/692,435	OLIJNYK ET AL.	
,	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mark A. Robinson	2872	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence addre	ss
THE REPLY FILED 15 July 2002 FAILS TO PLACE 1 Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Applexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	o avoid abandonment of t : (1) a timely filed amend peal (with appeal fee); or	this application. A proper reply ment which places the applica	y to a ition in
PERIOD FOR I	REPLY [check either a) o	or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY W/706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorter	r than SIX MONTHS from the many of the many of the many of the many of the petition under tension and the corresponding and the corr	ailing date of the final rejection. THS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. Sec 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate e mount of the fee. The appropriate exter	e MPEP extension fee nsion fee under
(b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	months after the mailing date of	the final rejection, even if timely filed, m	
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appella 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.192(a))		•	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:		
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require fur	rther consideration and/or	r search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Not	e below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	on in better form for appe	al by materially reducing or sir	nplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cand	celing a corresponding nu	ımber of finally rejected claims	5.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rej	ection(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wou canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	uld be allowable if submit	ted in a separate, timely filed a	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request application in condition for allowance because:		peen considered but does NOT	place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered to raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	pecause it is not directed	SOLELY to issues which were	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims			nd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	vs:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	is a) approved or b)[	disapproved by the Examir	ner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Staten	ment(s)( PTO-1449) Pape	er No(s)	
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>	``	Mark Robinson	
		Primary Examiner Art Unit 2872	

Application No.



• A

Continuation of 2. NOTE: the proposed amendments would require further consideration-e.g. the language deleted from claim 1 ("which provides for") does not have the same meaning or scope as the language added to the claim ("operably").

Continuation of 10. Other: Regarding applicant's remarks concerning withdrawal of the finality of the office action, it should be noted that the examiner cannot anticipate the claiming of every possible feature or combination of features found in the specification. Regarding the remarks concerning claim 10, applicant is reminded that a "dependent" claim is merely a shorthand form for writing a claim. Thus, the statement that claim 10 was not amended is incorrect since this claim was amended by virtue of the amendment to parent claim 1.